



SFDR Periodic Report

The following document has been reproduced from the Schroder International Selection Fund Annual Report. All content should be viewed in conjunction with the Annual Report:

<https://api.schroders.com/document-store/SISF-AR-LUEN.pdf>

SFDR Periodic Report

Reference Period: 1 January 2025 - 31 December 2025

Periodic disclosure for the financial products referred to in Article 8, paragraphs 1, 2 and 2a, of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and Article 6, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852

Product Name : Schroder ISF Emerging Markets Debt Total Return

Legal Entity Identifier : TLC5LFN105XXSK6FZ338

Environmental and/or social characteristics

Sustainable investment means an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that the investment does not significantly harm any environmental or social objective and that the investee companies follow good governance practices.

Did this financial product have a sustainable investment objective?

Yes No

<input type="checkbox"/> It made sustainable investments with an environmental objective: __%	<input type="checkbox"/> It promoted Environmental/Social (E/S) characteristics and while it did not have as its objective a sustainable investment, it had a proportion of __% of sustainable investments
<input type="checkbox"/> in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	<input type="checkbox"/> with an environmental objective in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
<input type="checkbox"/> in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	<input type="checkbox"/> with an environmental objective in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
<input type="checkbox"/> It made sustainable investments with a social objective: __%	<input type="checkbox"/> with a social objective
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It promoted E/S characteristics, but did not make any sustainable investments

The **EU Taxonomy** is a classification system laid down in Regulation (EU) 2020/852, establishing a list of **environmentally sustainable economic activities**. That Regulation does not include a list of socially sustainable economic activities. Sustainable investments with an environmental objective might be aligned with the Taxonomy or not.

SFDR Periodic Report (continued)

Reference Period: 1 January 2025 - 31 December 2025



To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?

The environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by the Fund were met.

The Fund maintained a higher overall sustainability score than the 50% JPM GBI-EM Diversified Index and 50% JPM EMBI Diversified Index, based on the Investment Manager's rating system. This benchmark (which is a broad market index) is not a reference benchmark for the purposes of the environmental and social characteristics promoted by the Fund.

The sustainability score is measured by Schroders' proprietary tool that provides an aggregate estimate of the social and environmental costs and benefits that an issuer may create. It does this by scoring the issuer against a list of indicators – scores may be positive (for example, when an issuer pays more than average living wages) or negative (for example, when an issuer emits carbon). It does this using third party data as well as Schroders' own estimates and assumptions and the outcome may differ from other sustainability tools and measures.

The result is expressed as an aggregate score of the sustainability indicators for each issuer, specifically a notional percentage (positive or negative) of sales or GDP of the relevant underlying issuer. For example, a score of +2% would mean that for every \$100 of sales or GDP the issuer generates, it would provide a net positive contribution to society and/or the environment of \$2. The sustainability score of the Fund is derived from the scores of all eligible issuers in the Fund's portfolio measured by Schroders' proprietary tool.

The reference period for this Fund is 1 January 2025 to 31 December 2025.

Sustainability indicators measure how the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product are attained.

• *How did the sustainability indicators perform?*

The Fund's sustainability score at the end of the reference period was -2.1% and the benchmark's sustainability score at the end of the reference period was -3.7%. In each case the sustainability score is calculated as described above.

The top 5 indicators in Schroders' proprietary tool that contributed positively to the sustainability score of the Fund at the end of the reference period were:

- Clean Energy: the estimated environmental benefit associated with renewables and the accelerated transformation of countries' energy systems;
- Connectivity: the estimated societal benefits from companies' that enable or support the connection of communities through telecommunication services;
- Education: the estimated societal benefits of a country's education spend per school age capita;
- Refugees: the estimated societal benefits associated with hosting refugees. Assigned by multiplying the number of refugees accepted per country, netted by people displaced internally and internationally, by the estimated benefit per refugee; and
- Socio-Political Stability: the estimated societal benefit a politically and socially stable country can provide in supporting a favourable business environment and attracting investment, thus contributing to long-

SFDR Periodic Report (continued)

Reference Period: 1 January 2025 - 31 December 2025

run economic growth.

The Fund also applied certain exclusions, with which the Investment Manager monitored compliance on an ongoing basis via its portfolio compliance framework.

• ***...and compared to previous periods?***

As the Fund did not commit to making sustainable investments, this question is not applicable for this Fund.

• ***What were the objectives of the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made and how did the sustainable investment contribute to such objectives?***

As the Fund did not commit to making sustainable investments, this question is not applicable for this Fund.

• ***How did the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?***

This question is not applicable for this Fund.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?

This question is not applicable for this Fund.

Were sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights? Details:

This question is not applicable for this Fund.

SFDR Periodic Report (continued)

Reference Period: 1 January 2025 - 31 December 2025

The EU Taxonomy sets out a “do not significant harm” principle by which Taxonomy-aligned investments should not significantly harm EU Taxonomy objectives and is accompanied by specific Union criteria.

The “do no significant harm” principle applies only to those investments underlying the financial product that take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. The investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.

Any other sustainable investments must also not significantly harm any environmental or social objectives.



How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

The Investment Manager’s approach to considering principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors differs depending on the relevant indicator. All PAI indicators were monitored via Schroders PAI dashboard. Some indicators were considered via the application of exclusions, some were considered via the investment process and some via engagement. Further details on how these have been considered during the reference period are detailed below.

PAIs were considered as part of pre-investment through the application of exclusions. These included:

- Controversial weapons: PAI 14 (Exposure to controversial weapons, such as anti-personnel mines, cluster munitions, chemical weapons and biological weapons).

- UNGC violators and Schroders’ ‘global norms’ breach list which covers:

- PAI 7 (Activities negatively affecting biodiversity-sensitive areas),

- PAI 8 (Emissions to water),

- PAI 9 (Hazardous waste ratio),

- PAI 10 (Violations of UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises),

- PAI 11 (Lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises) and

- PAI 14 in Table 3 (Number of identified cases of severe human rights issues and incidents).

- Companies that derived revenues above certain thresholds from activities related to thermal coal, that were deemed by the Investment Manager to contribute significantly to climate change were excluded from the investible universe: PAIs 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 (Greenhouse gas emissions).

PAI data is less meaningful in the context of an emerging markets sovereign focused strategy, as during the reference period there was limited PAI data available. Over time, we anticipate that this data will improve which will allow us to further assess the consideration of PAIs.

During the reference period, PAIs were also considered through integration in the investment process.

As part of the investment process, Schroders’ proprietary tool was used which incorporates several PAIs as a component of its scoring methodology. For example, PAI 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 (Greenhouse gas emissions) as well as PAI 4 from Annex 1 Table 2 (Investments in companies without carbon emission reduction initiatives) were included as part of a company’s overall environmental score.

PAIs were also considered post-investment through engagement where the Investment Manager engaged in line with the approach and expectations set out in the Schroders Engagement Blueprint, which outlines our approach to active ownership. Some examples of engagements during the reference

SFDR Periodic Report (continued)

Reference Period: 1 January 2025 - 31 December 2025

period are:

We engaged with an issuer in the Government Activity industry based in Malaysia on the subject of Climate Change (PAIs 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6). We also held an engagement on the subject of Transparency, risk and reporting (PAI 10) with an issuer in the Government Activity industry based in Mexico. Additionally, we engaged with an issuer based in Chile in the Government Activity industry on the subject of Nature-related risk and management (PAIs 7, 8 and 9).

A summary of the Fund's full engagement activity during the reference period, including the relevant engagement theme, is shown below:

Engagement Theme	# Issuers
Climate Change	3
Corporate Governance	2
Human Capital Management	2
Diversity and Inclusion	1
Natural Capital and Biodiversity	1

The engagements shown relate to engagements with companies and issuers. Our approach is subject to ongoing review, particularly as the availability, and quality, of PAI data evolves.



What were the top investments of this financial product?

During the reference period the top 15 investments were:

SFDR Periodic Report (continued)

Reference Period: 1 January 2025 - 31 December 2025

The list includes the investments constituting the **greatest proportion of investments** of the financial product during the reference period which is: **1 Jan 2025 to 31 Dec 2025**

Largest Investments	Sector	% Assets	Country
BRAZIL FEDERATIVE REPUBLIC OF (GOV SR NTN 10% 01 Jan 2035)	EMD Sovereign	3.99	Brazil
MEXICO (UNITED MEXICAN STATES) (GO SR M 7.75% 13 Nov 2042)	EMD Sovereign	2.84	Mexico
MEXICO (UNITED MEXICAN STATES) (GO SR M 8% 07 Nov 2047)	EMD Sovereign	2.74	Mexico
HUNGARY (GOVERNMENT) SR 35/A 7% 24 Oct 2035	EMD Sovereign	2.57	Hungary
POLAND (REPUBLIC OF) SR 1034 5% 25 Oct 2034	EMD Sovereign	2.56	Poland
BRAZIL FEDERATIVE REPUBLIC OF (GOV SR NTN 10% 01 Jan 2031)	EMD Sovereign	2.34	Brazil
INDIA (REPUBLIC OF) SR GOVT 7.18% 24 Jul 2037	EMD Sovereign	2.05	India
INDIA (REPUBLIC OF) SR GOVT 7.54% 23 May 2036	EMD Sovereign	1.98	India
INDIA (REPUBLIC OF) SR GOVT 7.18% 14 Aug 2033	EMD Sovereign	1.96	India
BRAZIL NOTAS DO TESOURO NACIONAL S SR NTN 10% 01 Jan 2033	EMD Sovereign	1.95	Brazil
MEXICO (UNITED MEXICAN STATES) (GO SR M 7.5% 26 May 2033)	EMD Sovereign	1.73	Mexico
SOUTH AFRICA (REPUBLIC OF) SR 2044 8.75% 31 Jan 2044	EMD Sovereign	1.71	South Africa
MALAYSIA (GOVERNMENT) SR 0419 3.828% 05 Jul 2034	EMD Sovereign	1.69	Malaysia
TREASURY BILL SR GOVT 0% 03 Jul 2025	Cash Equivalents	1.61	United States
TREASURY BILL SR GOVT 0% 05 Jun 2025	Cash Equivalents	1.52	United States

The list above represents the average of the Fund's holdings at each quarter-end during the reference period. The largest investments and % of assets referred to above are derived from the Schroders Investment Book of Record (IBoR) data source. The largest investments and % of assets detailed elsewhere in the Audited Annual Report are derived from the Accounting Book of Record (ABoR) maintained by the administrator. As a result of these differing data sources, there may be differences in the largest investments and % of assets due to the differing calculation methodologies of these alternative data sources.



What was the proportion of sustainability-related investments?

Asset allocation describes the share of investments in specific assets.

• What was the asset allocation?


The Fund's investments that were used to meet its environmental or social characteristics are summarised below; all figures represent the Fund's holdings at the end of the reference period.

#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics includes the Fund's assets that were used to attain the environmental or social characteristics, which is equal to 97%. The Fund maintained a higher overall sustainability score than the 50% JPM GBI-EM Diversified Index and 50% JPM EMBI Diversified Index and so the Fund's investments that were scored by Schroders' proprietary sustainability tool are included within #1 on the basis that they contributed to the Fund's sustainability score (whether such individual investment had an overall positive or a negative score).

#2 Other includes cash, which was treated as neutral for sustainability purposes. #2 also includes other investments that were not scored by Schroders' proprietary sustainability tool and so did not contribute towards the Fund's sustainability score.

SFDR Periodic Report (continued)

Reference Period: 1 January 2025 - 31 December 2025



• **In which economic sectors were the investments made?**

During the reference period investments were made in the following economic sectors:

SFDR Periodic Report (continued)

Reference Period: 1 January 2025 - 31 December 2025

Sector	Sub-Sector	% Assets
EMD Sovereign		60.40
EMD Sovereign	Financial Services	0.79
Foreign Sovereign		12.51
Cash Equivalents	Treasury Bills	12.01
Sovereign (Developed)		4.43
Industrial	Basic Industry	1.05
Industrial	Transportation	0.70
Industrial	Oil Refining & Marketing	0.44
Industrial	Telecommunications	0.22
Industrial	Oil Field Equipment & Services	0.15
Industrial	Energy - Exploration & Production	0.15
Industrial	Integrated Energy	0.10
Industrial	Leisure	0.10
Industrial	Technology & Electronics	0.10
Industrial	Real Estate	0.06
Industrial	Retail	0.04
Industrial	Services	0.03
Cash	Cash	2.25
Cash	Margin Cash	0.53
Supranational		2.50
Financial	Banking	0.56
Financial	Financial Services	0.46
Agency		0.28
Government Guaranteed		0.16
Utilities	Electric - Generation	0.07
Derivatives	Credit Derivatives	0.22
Derivatives	FX Derivatives	-0.31

The list above represents the average of the Fund's holdings at each quarter-end during the reference period. The largest investments and % of assets referred to above are derived from the Schroders Investment Book of Record (IBoR) data source. The largest investments and % of assets detailed elsewhere in the Audited Annual Report are derived from the Accounting Book of Record (ABoR) maintained by the administrator. As a result of these differing data sources, there may be differences in the largest investments and % of assets due to the differing calculation methodologies of these alternative data sources.



To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

There was no extent to which the Fund's investments (including transitional and enabling activities) with an environmental objective were aligned with the EU Taxonomy. Taxonomy alignment of this Fund's investments has therefore not been calculated and has as a result been deemed to constitute 0% of the Fund's portfolio.

SFDR Periodic Report (continued)

Reference Period: 1 January 2025 - 31 December 2025

• Did the financial product invest in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy related activities complying with the EU Taxonomy¹?

Yes:

In fossil gas In nuclear energy

No

¹ Fossil gas and/or nuclear related activities will only comply with the EU Taxonomy where they contribute to limiting climate change ("climate change mitigation") and do no significant harm to any EU Taxonomy objective - see explanatory note in the left hand margin. The full criteria for fossil gas and nuclear energy economic activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy are laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214.


Taxonomy-aligned activities are expressed as a share of:

- **turnover** reflecting the share of revenue from green activities of investee companies

- **capital expenditure** (CapEx) showing the green investments made by investee companies, e.g. for a transition to a green economy.

- **operational expenditure** (OpEx) reflecting green operational activities of investee companies.

The graphs below show in green the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy. As there is no appropriate methodology to determine the Taxonomy-alignment of sovereign bonds*, the first graph shows the Taxonomy-alignment in relation to all the investments of the financial product including sovereign bonds, while the second graph shows the Taxonomy-alignment only in relation to the investments of the financial product other than sovereign bonds.



*For the purpose of these graphs, 'sovereign bonds' consist of all sovereign exposures

SFDR Periodic Report (continued)

Reference Period: 1 January 2025 - 31 December 2025

²As there is no Taxonomy-alignment, there is no impact on the graph if sovereign bonds are excluded (i.e. the percentage of Taxonomy-aligned investments remains 0%) and the Management Company therefore believes that there is no need to mention this information.

Enabling activities directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental objective

Transitional activities are economic activities for which low-carbon alternatives are not yet available and that have greenhouse gas emission levels corresponding to the best performance.

• *What was the share of investments made in transitional and enabling activities?*

As per the above, the share of investments by the Fund in transitional and enabling activities has been deemed to constitute 0% of the Fund's portfolio.

• *How did the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy compare with previous reference periods?*

This question is not applicable.



are sustainable investments with an environmental objective that **do not take into account the criteria** for environmentally sustainable economic activities under Regulation (EU) 2020/852.



• *What was the share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?*

This question is not applicable for this Fund.



• *What was the share of socially sustainable investments?*

This question is not applicable for this Fund.



• *What investments were included under "other", what was their purpose and were there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?*

SFDR Periodic Report (continued)

Reference Period: 1 January 2025 - 31 December 2025

#2 Other includes cash, which was treated as neutral for sustainability purposes. #2 also includes other investments that were not scored by Schroders' proprietary sustainability tool and so did not contribute towards the Fund's sustainability score.

Minimum safeguards were applied where relevant to investments and derivatives by restricting (as appropriate) investments in counterparties where there were ownership links or exposure to higher risk countries (for the purpose of money laundering, terrorist financing, bribery, corruption, tax evasion and sanctions risks). A firm-wide risk assessment considers the risk rating of each jurisdiction; which includes reference to a number of public statements, indices and world governance indicators issued by the UN, the European Union, the UK Government, the Financial Action Task Force and several Non-Government Organisations (NGOs), such as Transparency International and the Basel Committee.

In addition, new counterparties were reviewed by Schroders' Credit Risk team and approval of a new counterparty was based on a holistic review of the various sources of information available, including, but not limited to, quality of management, ownership structure, location, regulatory and social environment to which each counterparty is subject, and the degree of development of the local banking system and its regulatory framework. Ongoing monitoring was performed through a Schroders' proprietary tool, which supports the analysis of a counterparty's management of environmental, social and governance trends and challenges.

Schroders' Credit Risk team monitored the counterparties and during the reference period, to the extent counterparties were removed from the approved list for all funds in line with our policy and compliance requirements, such counterparties were ineligible for use by the Fund in respect of any relevant investments from the date they were removed.



What actions have been taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period?

The actions taken during the reference period to meet the environmental and social characteristics promoted by the Fund were the following:

- The Investment Manager applied sustainability criteria when selecting investments for the Fund;
- A central good governance test was applied to assess good governance practices of investee companies;
- The Investment Manager considered the sustainability score of the Fund and of individual investments when selecting the assets held by the Fund; and
- The Investment Manager undertook engagements covering one or more of the six priority themes set out in our Engagement Blueprint (Link <https://mybrand.schroders.com/m/3222ea4ed44a1f2c/original/schroders-engagement-blueprint.pdf>). A summary of the Fund's engagement activity, including the number of issuers engaged with and the related theme, is shown above in the question 'How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?'. Through our engagement activities, we build relationships and have a two-way dialogue with our investee companies.



How did this financial product perform compared to the reference benchmark?

Reference

No index was designated as a reference benchmark for the purpose of attaining the environmental or

SFDR Periodic Report (continued)

Reference Period: 1 January 2025 - 31 December 2025

benchmarks are indexes to measure whether the financial product attains the environmental or social characteristics that they promote.

social characteristics promoted by the Fund.

• ***How does the reference benchmark differ from a broad market index?***

This question is not applicable for this Fund.

• ***How did this financial product perform with regard to the sustainability indicators to determine the alignment of the reference benchmark with the environmental or social characteristics promoted?***

This question is not applicable for this Fund.

• ***How did this financial product perform compared with the reference benchmark?***

This question is not applicable for this Fund.

• ***How did this financial product perform compared with the broad market index?***

This question is not applicable for this Fund.